arXiv:hep-lat/0001030v1 27 Jan 2000

UT-Komaba 00-3

Pions in Lattice QCD with the Overlap Fermions at Strong Gauge Coupling

Ikuo Ichinose[1]and Keiichi Nagao[2]

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8902 Japan

Abstract

In the previous paper we developed a strong-coupling expansion for the lattice QCD with the overlap fermions and showed that Lüsher's "extended" chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken in some parameter region of the overlap fermions. In this paper, we obtain a low-energy effective action and show that there exist quasi-Nambu-Goldsone bosons which are identified as the pions. The pion field is a *nonlocal* composite field of quark and anti-quark even at the strong-coupling limit because of the nonlocality of the overlap fermion formalism and Lüsher's chiral symmetry. The pions become massless in the limit of the vanishing bare-quark mass as it is desired.

---

[1] e-mail address: ikuo@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[2] e-mail address: nagao@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

One of the long standing problems in the lattice gauge theory is the formulation of lattice fermions. Recently a very promising formulation named overlap fermion was proposed by Narayanan and Neuberger [1, 2] and it has been studied intensively by both analytic and numerical methods. In the previous paper [3] (which we shall refer to paper I hereafter), we slightly extended the overlap fermion by introducing a "hopping" parameter t and studied the lattice QCD by using both the t-expansion and the strong-coupling expansion. There we calculated the effective potential of the chiral condensation and showed that Lüsher's extended chiral symmetry [4] is spontaneously broken at certain parameter region of the overlap fermion. In this paper we shall obtain an effective action of low-energy excitations and show that there exist quasi-Nambu-Goldsone bosons which are identified as the pions. As we show, the pion field is a *nonlocal* composite field of quark and anti-quark even at the strong-coupling limit.

Action of the overlap fermion on the d-dimensional lattice is given as follows,

$$S_F = a^d \sum_{n,m} \bar{\psi}(m) D(m,n) \psi(n), \tag{1}$$

where the covariant derivative $D(m,n)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \frac{1}{a}\Big(1 + X\frac{1}{\sqrt{X^\dagger X}}\Big), \\ X_{mn} &= \gamma_\mu C_\mu(t;m,n) + B(t;m,n), \\ C_\mu(t;m,n) &= \frac{t}{2a}\Big[\delta_{m+\mu,n}U_\mu(m) - \delta_{m,n+\mu}U^\dagger_\mu(n)\Big], \\ B(t;m,n) &= -\frac{M_0}{a} + \frac{r}{2a}\sum_\mu \Big[2\delta_{n,m} - t\delta_{m+\mu,n}U_\mu(m) - t\delta_{m,n+\mu}U^\dagger_\mu(n)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where r and M_0 are dimensionless nonvanishing free parameters of the overlap lattice fermion formalism and $U_\mu(m)$ is gauge field on links. Other notations are standard. We have introduced a new parameter t.[3] The original overlap fermion corresponds to

---

[3] As we explained in the paper I, the t-dependence of the operator $D(m,n)$ is abosorbed by a redefinition of M_0.

$t=1$. For notational simplicity, we define

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{a}(dr - M_0), \quad B \equiv \frac{rt}{2a}, \quad C \equiv \frac{t}{2a}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) &\equiv \delta_{m+\mu,n} U_\mu(m) - \delta_{m,n+\mu} U^\dagger_\mu(n), \\ \Gamma^+_\mu(m,n) &\equiv \delta_{m+\mu,n} U_\mu(m) + \delta_{m,n+\mu} U^\dagger_\mu(n). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In terms of the above quantities,

$$X_{mn} = A\delta_{mn} + C\sum \gamma_\mu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) - B\sum \Gamma^+_\mu(m,n), \tag{5}$$

$$(X^\dagger)_{mn} = A\delta_{mn} - C\sum \gamma_\mu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) - B\sum \Gamma^+_\mu(m,n). \tag{6}$$

From Eq.(3), $B, C = O(t)$ and we consider $A = O(1)$ in the later discussion. Then it is rather straightforward to expand $D(m,n)$ in powers of t,

$$\begin{aligned} aD(m,n) &= 2\theta(A)\delta_{mn} + \frac{C}{|A|}\sum \gamma_\mu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) \\ &\quad + \frac{BC}{2A|A|}\sum \gamma_\mu \Big(\Gamma^-_\mu(m,l)\Gamma^+_\nu(l,n) + \Gamma^+_\nu(m,l)\Gamma^-_\mu(l,n)\Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{C^2}{2A|A|}\sum \gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,l)\Gamma^-_\nu(l,n) + O(t^3). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Higher-order terms of t are nonlocal and the t-expansion corresponds to a kind of the hopping expansion. In the free field case or at the weak gauge coupling, the parameter region in which fermion propagator has no species doublers is easily identified in the (M_0, r) plane. However in the strong-coupling theory like QCD, the parameter region of physical relevance should be determined by another requirement, because the pole in the quark propagator is *not* a physical observable. Therefore it is important to study the lattice QCD with the overlap fermions in rather wide region of the parameter space.

It is verified that the Ginsparg-Wilson (GW) relation [7]

$$D\gamma_5 + \gamma_5 D = aD\gamma_5 D, \tag{8}$$

is satisfied by the t-expanded $D(m,n)$ in Eq.(7) at each order of t. Action of the fermion S_F in Eq.(1) is invariant under the following extended chiral transformation discovered by Lüscher [4],

$$\delta\psi(m) = \epsilon\gamma_5\Big(\delta_{nm} - aD(m,n)\Big)\psi(n), \ \ \delta\bar{\psi}(m) = \epsilon\bar{\psi}(m)\gamma_5, \tag{9}$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal transformation parameter.

Total action of the lattice QCD is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{tot} &= S_G + S_{F,M}, \\ S_G &= -\frac{1}{g^2}\sum_{pl} \mathrm{Tr}(UUU^\dagger U^\dagger), \\ S_{F,M} &= S_F - M_B \sum \bar{\psi}(m)\psi(m), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have added the bare mass term of quarks. We shall consider the strong-coupling limit in this paper though a systematic strong-coupling expansion is possible. We consider the $U(N)$ gauge group for large N. It is easily verified that the following composite operators are covariant under the transformation (9)[5, 6],

$$\bar{q}(n) \equiv \bar{\psi}(n), \ \ q(n) \equiv \Big(1 - \frac{a}{2}D(n,m)\Big)\psi(n), \tag{11}$$

that is

$$\delta q(m) = \epsilon\gamma_5 q(m), \ \ \delta\bar{q}(m) = \epsilon\bar{q}(m)\gamma_5. \tag{12}$$

Hereafter we often set the lattice spacing $a = 1$. We consider the case of negative A which is expected to have desired properties of QCD [3].

Partition function of the $U(N)$ QCD is given by the following functional integral,

$$Z[J] = \int D\bar{\psi}D\psi DU \exp\Big\{ - S_{tot} + \sum J(n)\hat{Q}(n)\Big\}, \tag{13}$$

where $[DU]$ is the Haar measure and

$$\begin{aligned} J(n)\hat{Q}(n) &= J^\alpha_\beta(n)\hat{Q}^\beta_\alpha(n) \\ \hat{Q}^\beta_\alpha(n) &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_a q_{a,\alpha}(n)\bar{q}^{a,\beta}(n), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with color index a and spinor-flavor indices α and β. It should be remarked that the source J is coupled to the nonlocal operator $\hat{Q}$ instead of $\sum_a \psi_{a,\alpha}(n)\bar{\psi}^{a,\beta}(n)$. In Ref.[8] we studied the gauged Gross-Neveu model with the overlap fermions and showed that $\hat{Q}^{\beta}_{\alpha}(n)$ is the proper composite fields for the extended chiral symmetry, i.e., the order parameter is given by $\hat{Q}^{\beta}_{\alpha}(n)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and the Nambu-Goldstone bosons correspond to $\mathrm{tr}_S(\hat{Q}(n)\gamma_5)$ where tr_S is the trace over spinor indices. In the rest of the present paper, we shall obtain the effective action $S_{eff}(\mathcal{Q})$ defined as

$$Z[J] = \int D\mathcal{Q} e^{-S_{eff}(\mathcal{Q})+J\mathcal{Q}} \tag{15}$$

where integral over color-singlet *elementary* "meson" field $\mathcal{Q}^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ is defined as in Ref.[3].

In order to evaluate the partition function (13), we make a change of variables as

$$(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \Rightarrow (\bar{q}, q).$$

Then the measure of the functional integral is transformed as

$$[d\bar{\psi}d\psi] \Rightarrow [d\bar{q}dq]e^{N\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-\frac{\mathrm{a}}{2}\mathrm{D})}, \tag{16}$$

where Tr in (16) is the trace over the spinor-flavor as well as the real-space indices. The contribution from the Jacobian $\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-\frac{a}{2}D)$ is easily evaluated by the t-expansion. In terms of $\bar{q}$ and q,

$$\begin{aligned} S'_F(q) &= S_F(\psi) \\ &= \bar{q}(m)\Big[\frac{C}{|A|}\sum \gamma_\mu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) + \frac{BC}{2A|A|}\sum \gamma_\mu\Big(\Gamma^-_\mu(m,l)\Gamma^+_\nu(l,n) + \Gamma^+_\nu(m,l)\Gamma^-_\mu(l,n)\Big) \\ &\quad +O(t^3)\Big]q(n). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The term of $O((C/A)^2)$ in (7) has disappeared. As explained in paper I, this term is exactly determined from the term of $O(C/A)$ in (7) through the GW relation. We expect that a similar phenomenon occurs for higher-order terms of the t-expansion which are determined by lower-order terms by the GW relation. That is, the terms of higher-order of t which are determined through the GW relation drop when the action is rewritten in terms of q and $\bar{q}$. The mass term is also given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_B\bar{\psi}(m)\psi(m) &= M_B\bar{q}(m)\Big[\delta_{mn} - \frac{C}{2A}\sum \gamma_\mu \Gamma^-_\mu(m,n) \\ &\quad -\frac{BC}{4A^2}\sum \gamma_\mu \Big(\Gamma^-_\mu(m,l)\Gamma^+_\nu(l,n) + \Gamma^+_\nu(m,l)\Gamma^-_\mu(l,n)\Big) \\ &\quad +O(t^3)\Big]q(n). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Total action of q is given by

$$S'_{F,M}(q) = S_{F,M}(\psi). \tag{19}$$

Integral over the gauge field can be performed by the one-link integral,

$$e^{W(\bar{D},D)} = \int dU_\mu \exp\Big[\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{D}_\mu U_\mu + U^\dagger_\mu D_\mu)\Big]. \tag{20}$$

Explicit form of the one-link integral $W(\bar{D},D)$ for the present system is obtained as in paper I. Gauge fields in $\Gamma^\pm_\mu(m,n)$ are replaced with composite operators of q and $\bar{q}$ after the integral over $U_\mu(n)$. (For details see paper I.) After some calculation,

$$\int DU e^{-S'_{F,M}(q) + N\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{D}) + \sum \mathrm{J}\hat{\mathrm{Q}}} = e^{-NM_B \mathrm{tr}(\hat{Q}) - S_2(\hat{Q}) + \sum J\hat{Q}}, \tag{21}$$

where tr in (21) is the trace over spinor-flavor indices and $S_2(\hat{Q})$ is some complicated function of $\hat{Q}$ which is obtained in powers of t. Let us define the following operators;

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \epsilon^{\delta\sigma}_\mu(m) = \Big(\frac{C}{A}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{M_B}{2}\Big)^2\Big(\hat{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu\hat{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu\Big)^{\delta\sigma}, \\ \epsilon' &= \epsilon'^{\delta\sigma}_\mu(m) = \Big(\frac{C}{A}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{M_B}{2}\Big)^2\Big(\hat{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu\hat{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu\Big)^{\delta\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then in terms of ϵ's, $S_2(\hat{Q})$ is given as[4]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N}S_2(\hat{Q}) &= -\sum_{m,\mu}\mathrm{tr}\Big[g(\epsilon_\mu(m))\Big] \\ &\quad +\frac{BC^3}{2A^4}\Big(1-\frac{M_B}{2}\Big)^3 \end{aligned}$$

---

[4] As we shall see, $\mathcal{Q} \sim O(t^{-1})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \sum_{m,\mu,\nu} \Big\{ \mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon_\mu(m))\mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon_\nu(m+\mu))\Big] \\
&-\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon_\mu(m+\nu))\mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon'_\nu(m+\mu))\Big] \\
&+\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon'_\mu(m))\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon_\nu(m))\Big] \\
&-\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon'_\mu(m+\nu))\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon'_\nu(m))\Big] \\
&+\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon_\nu(m))\mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon_\mu(m+\nu))\Big] \\
&+\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon_\nu(m+\mu))\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon'_\mu(m+\nu))\Big] \\
&-\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon'_\nu(m))\mathcal{Q}(m+\nu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon_\mu(m))\Big] \\
&-\mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\nu g'(\epsilon'_\nu(m+\mu))\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu+\nu)\gamma_\mu \mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon'_\mu(m))\Big] \Big\} \\
&+\frac{N_{sf}C^4}{4A^4}\Big(1-\frac{M_B}{2}\Big)^2 \sum_{m,\mu} \mathrm{tr}\Big[\mathcal{Q}(m+\mu)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon_\mu(m))\mathcal{Q}(m)\gamma_\mu g'(\epsilon'_\mu(m))\Big] \\
&+O(t^3), \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

where N_{sf} is the dimension of the spinor-flavor index and

$$
g(x) = 1-(1-4x)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \ln\Big[\frac{1}{2}(1+(1-4x)^{\frac{1}{2}})\Big]. \qquad (24)
$$

Elementary meson fields $\mathcal{Q}$ and their functional integral are introduced as in the previous case [3, 9],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d\bar{q}dq \exp\Big(\frac{1}{N}J^\beta_\alpha q^\alpha_a \bar{q}^a_\beta\Big) &= \Big(\det J\Big)^N \\
&= \oint d\mathcal{Q}\Big(\det\mathcal{Q}\Big)^{-N}\cdot e^{J\cdot\mathcal{Q}}, \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral over $\mathcal{Q}$ is defined by the contour integral, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}$ is polar-decomposed as $\mathcal{Q}=RV$ with positive-definite Hermitian matrix R and unitary matrix V, and $\oint d\mathcal{Q} \equiv \int dV$ with the Haar measure of $\mathrm{U}(N_{sf})$[9]. From (25), there appear additional terms like $(N\mathrm{Tr}\log\mathcal{Q})$ in the effective action. Therefore the effective action is given by

$$
S_{eff}(\mathcal{Q}) = N\sum_n \Big[\mathrm{tr}\ln\mathcal{Q}(n) + M_B\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{Q}(n)\Big] + S_2(\mathcal{Q}), \qquad (26)
$$

Effective potential of the chiral condensate is obtained from S_{eff} in Eq.(26) by setting

$$Q^{\alpha\beta}(n) = v\delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

In paper I we obtained

$$v = \frac{|A|}{2C}\sqrt{\frac{2d-1}{d^2}} + O(t^0) + O(M_B). \tag{27}$$

From the above result, we can expect that there appear quasi-Nambu-Goldstone bosons, i.e., pions. Naive expectation is that pions correspond to the composite operators like $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi$ as in the continuum. We examined the effective action and found that there is no gapless excitation in the channel $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi$. However we found that there are massless modes in the channel $\mathrm{tr}_F\Big(\hat{Q}\gamma_5\Big) = \mathrm{tr}_F\Big(q\bar{q}\gamma_5\Big)$. It is straightforward to obtain the effective action of the pions by inserting the following expression of $\mathcal{Q}$ into S_{eff} in Eq.(26),

$$\mathcal{Q}(m) = ve^{i\gamma_5\phi_5(m)}. \tag{28}$$

For example from (22) and (28),

$$\epsilon_\mu \propto e^{-i\gamma_5\nabla_\mu\phi_5}. \tag{29}$$

We obtain

$$S_{eff}|_{\mathcal{Q}(m)=ve^{i\gamma_5\phi_5(m)}} = 2^{\frac{d}{2}}N\Big[C_\pi\sum_{m,\mu}\mathrm{tr}_F\Big(\nabla_\mu\phi_5(m)\Big)^2 - \frac{M_B v}{2}\sum_m \mathrm{tr}_F\Big(\phi_5(m)\Big)^2\Big], \tag{30}$$

where C_π is some positive constant. Therefore the fields ϕ_5 are quasi-Nambu-Goldstone pions as expected.

If we introduce elementary “meson” fields $\mathcal{M}$ as in paper I, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}^\beta_\alpha(m) \sim \frac{1}{N}\sum_a \psi_{a,\alpha}(m)\bar{\psi}^{a,\beta}(m)$$

and parameterize them as

$$\mathcal{M}(m) = ve^{i\gamma_5\tilde{\phi}_5(m)},$$

it is shown that there appears additional mass term of $\tilde{\phi}_5$ which is *finite* for $M_B \to 0$. This fact must be important for numerical studies of QCD with the overlap fermions.

In this paper we consider the leading-order of $1/N$. We expect that in the next-leading order of $1/N$ a mass term of the flavour singlet meson will appear from the Jacobian in (16). This is a solution to the $U(1)$ problem. The next-leading order terms in $1/N$ is under study and results will be reported in a future publication[10].

Finally let us comment on the domain wall fermions at strong coupling. Very recently lattice $U(1)$ gauge model with the domain-wall fermions was studied in the strong-coupling limit by the Hamiltonian formalism [11]. There an effective Hamiltonian for low-lying color-singlet degrees of freedom is obtained by treating the terms proportional to the gauge fields $U_\mu(m)$ as perturbations. This idea is very close to ours in paper I and the present paper though we employ the Lagrangian formalism. From the effective Hamiltonian obtained there, it is concluded that domain-wall fermions at strong coupling suffer both the doubling problem and explicit breaking of chiral symmetry. Furthermore it is claimed that the result also applied to the overlap fermions. However we do not think that this is the case. First, the effective Hamiltonian obtained there is *local*. We expect that by integrating over heavy modes of the domain-wall fermions there appear *nonlocal* terms in the effective Hamiltonian or action of the light fermions. Therefore it is suspected that the effect of heavy fermions is *not* properly taken into account in the calculation in Ref.[11]. Second, in order to prove the "equivalence" between the domain-wall and overlap fermions, bosonic Pauli-Villars fields must be introduced in the domain-wall fermion formalism [12, 6]. As a result of the introduction of the Pauli-Villars fields, the GW relation is satisfied by the overlap Dirac operator in the action of the light fermions. However in Ref.[11], the bosonic Pauli-Villars fields are *not* included at all. From the above reasons, we do not think that the result in Ref.[11] is applicable to the overlap fermions. Actually, the effective action obtained in this paper by integrating over the gauge fields is nonlocal even in terms of the chiral-covariant fields $q(n)$ and $\bar{q}(n)$ and also there exists the extended chiral symmetry for vanishing quark mass which is regarded as axial symmetry for small hopping parameter t.

References

[1] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger, Nucl.Phys. B412(1994)574; Nucl.Phys.B443(1995)305.

[2] H.Neuberger, Phys.Lett.B417(1998)141.

[3] I.Ichinose and K.Nagao, hep-lat/9910031.

[4] M.Lüscher, Phys.Lett.B428(1998)342.

[5] F.Niedermayer, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.73(1999)105.

[6] Y.Kikukawa and T.Noguchi, het-lat/9902022.

[7] P.H. Ginsparg and K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D25(1982) 2649.

[8] I.Ichinose and K.Nagao, het-lat/9909035.

[9] N.Kawamoto and J.Smit, Nucl.Phys.B192(1981)100.

[10] I.Ichinose and K.Nagao, work in progress.

[11] R.C.Brower and B.Svetitsky, hep-lat/9912019.

[12] H.Neuberger, Phys.Rev.D57(1998)5417.